

SELF-ASSESSMENT OF READING PROFICIENCY

The following Self-Assessment of foreign language Reading Ability is intended to serve as a guide for people who have not taken a U.S. Government-sponsored reading test but would like to have a rough estimate of their proficiency. The self-assessment questionnaire will produce an estimate of your current foreign language reading ability but is in no way intended to be a replacement for the existing ILR Skill Level Descriptions.

Important: The term *read* as used in this self-assessment always means "*read and understand the meaning*." It does <u>not</u> refer in any way to the ability to read aloud without comprehension. The term *text* refers to any example of language presented in the writing system of the language, including advertisements, weather reports, news articles, letters, lengthy essays, and literary works, among others.

For all texts at a level, it is not necessary to know all the words or understand all the details of the texts listed for that level, but it is necessary to perform the functional tasks described for the level at the indicated level of accuracy.

To estimate your level of proficiency, start at the lowest level (R-0+) and respond to each statement. For each statement, respond "yes" or "no." If a statement describes your ability only some of the time, or only in some contexts, you should answer "no." If you answer "yes" to every statement in the level, your ability is probably at least at that level. Move on to the descriptions at the next level. If you answer "no" to one or more statements, then you are likely not at that level.

If you answer "yes" to all the statements at one level, and have a majority of "yes" answers at the next higher level, then you may be at a "plus" level. For example, if you answer "yes" to all the statements at Level 1, but have a mixture of responses at Level 2 (almost all "yes" answers), your self-assessed ability may be at Level 1+.

Note to the user: This self-assessment instrument is posted by the ILR in provisional form for personal use by any interested individual. The final version will be posted after one year. Please send any comments or suggestions for improving the form by no later than February 15, 2010, to Dr. Frederick H. Jackson (fjackson@nflc.org).

SELF	-ASSESSMENT OF READING PROFICIENCY	Yes	No
R-0+	As appropriate for the language, I can recognize and identify all the letters in the printed version of an alphabetic writing system (in languages like English, Spanish, Finnish, Russian, Greek, Vietnamese) or the elements of a syllable-based writing system (such as in Japanese kana, Korean hangul, Hebrew, Arabic, Amharic, Thai, or Hindi) or some commonly occurring characters in a character system (Chinese, Japanese kanji, Korean hanja.)		
R-0+	I can read some isolated words and phrases, such as numbers and commonplace names, that I see on signs, menus, and storefronts, and in simple everyday material such as advertisements and timetables.		
R-1	I can understand the purpose and main meaning of very short, simple texts, such as in printed personal notes, business advertisements, public announcements, maps, etc.		
R-1	I can understand simple instructions, such as in very straightforward street directions.		
R-1	I can understand very short simple written descriptions of some familiar persons, places, and things, like those found in many tourist pamphlets.		
R-2	I can understand texts that consist mainly of straightforward factual language, such as short news reports of events, biographical information, descriptions, or simple technical material.		
R-2	I can understand the main idea and some details of clearly organized short straightforward texts about places, people, and events that I am familiar with.		
R-2	I can understand very straightforward reports about current and past events.		
R-2	I can understand simple typed correspondence in familiar contexts, including descriptions of events, feelings, wishes and future plans.		
R-2	I can usually understand the main ideas of authentic prose on topics I am familiar with, either because they pertain to my work experience or to topics I am interested in.		
R-3	I can usually read and understand all of the material in a major daily newspaper published in a city or country with which I am familiar.		
R-3	In reading a newspaper or magazine that contains editorial or opinion content, I can "read between the lines" and understand meanings that are not directly stated.		
R-3	I can understand the author's intent and follow the line of reasoning in texts that include hypothesis, persuasion, supported opinion or argument for a position (e.g., editorials,		

	debates, and op-ed pieces) with little or no use of a dictionary.	
R-3	I can understand contemporary expository essays and recent	
	literary prose with little or no use of a dictionary,.	
R-3	I can understand the main ideas and important details of almost	
	all material written within my particular professional field or	
	area of primary interest (e.g., reports, analyses, letters,	
	arguments, etc.).	
R-4	I am able to read fluently and accurately all styles and forms of	
	the language pertinent to professional needs or personal	
	interest without reference to a dictionary,.	
R-4	I can understand long and complex analyses, factual reports,	
	and literary texts.	
R-4	I can understand both the meaning and the intent of most uses	
	of idioms, cultural references, word play, sarcasm, and irony in	
	even highly abstract and culturally "loaded" texts.	
R-4	I can understand language that has been especially adjusted for	
	different situations, audiences or purposes, such as a political	
	essay, humorous anecdote or joke, sermon, or inflammatory	
	broadside, and I can appreciate distinctions in style.	
R-4	I can read virtually all forms of the written language, including	
	abstract, linguistically complex texts such as specialized	
	articles, essays and literary works, including prose works from	
	earlier periods recognized as masterpieces.	
R-4	I can read reasonably legible handwriting without difficulty	