

Improving Proficiency Descriptors in the 21st Century: Incorporating Diversity, Equity and Inclusion

Meg Malone

January 2024



Proficiency Guidelines/Scales: Background

- "Scales... create transparency and coherence" (North, 1993)
- "CEFR is a tool for reflection, communication and empowerment" (Trim, 2014)
- Can scales developed for monolingual learners be generalized to plurilingual learners? (Cox, Malone & Winke, 2018)
- "Scales and their accompanying tests and philosophies can provide washback to teaching and learning from curricula to classroom assessments, to student self-assessment" (Harsch & Malone, 2020)



Who uses language proficiency scales?

- U.S. Government
- Employers
- Universities
- K-12 schools



Goals

- Provide context for project
- Describe goals for revisions
- Explain process
- Discuss current findings
- Review next steps



Context

- About ACTFL
- Brief history of the Guidelines
- Reasons for revision



ACTFL's Vision

ACTFL envisions an interconnected world where everyone benefits from and values a multilingual and multicultural education.



What We're Known For









ACTFL 20 PHILADELPHIA 24 NOVEMBER 22-24

GOAL AREAS	STANDARDS			
COMMENSATION Conservation of Control y Is more than the leader of the more than the leader of the leader of the more the leader of the leader of the leader of the more than the leader of the leader of the leader of the more the leader of the leader of the leader of the more the leader of the leader of the leader of the more the leader of the leader of the leader of the leader of the more the leader of the leader of the leader of the leader of the more the leader of the leader of the leader of the leader of the more the leader of the leader of the leader of the leader of the more the leader of the	Interpersonal Communication Express torses and septises assuing in points, agoed, or written comversation, resolution, interpretion, resolution, beilings, and spinaress	Interpretive Connectical Lowers under interpret, and in based, read, rather of opti-	analize what or they all as	Presentational Contensationflow Learners presentations company, and intens to index orgonals, presents, and conten- tion a subset of copies using representation and adap- ing to strategy of copies using representation and adap- ing to strategy of copies and adap- ing to strategy of copies and adap- ing to strategy of copies and adap- tion and the strategy of the strategy of the instance, readers, or the strategy
Curruness torona oth rateout imposes and andersteading	Ralisting Columni Procedures to Porspectiveses Lowers to relatingency to severgore, region, and relation and relationship between the procedure and perspectives of the robust restlet.		Balating Cultural Products in Perspectives Conversor for language in severages, espirat, and refers on do including betwee deproduces and perspective of the scheme underf.	
CONNECTIONS former off day display and sugar second of days interaction of days interaction of days interaction of days in large parts in testing in any second of the second interaction of the second	Holding Connections: Taxonship of a door Rangian value axis door Taxonship of a door Rangian value axis doo Taxonship of a door Rangian value axis doo Taxonship professo creative		Angelong Information and Overan Perspectives Exercise source of evolves administration and diverse perspective due are instablis denigh the European est to refere	
Conversions In the conversion of the conversion of the conversion of the conversion	Language Comparisons: Language on the impage to iteration, equilable, cell reflect on the statement of suppage iteration and other on the statement of and language moder and there one.		Colored Comparisons Exercises use the language or investigate, significit, and effects on the concept of colorer showing resequences of the estimate marked and their even.	
COMMENTIES Comparison of Advance of the State of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Comparison of Compariso	School and Gibbel Communities: Learners are the language toth visitia and beyond the destroom in thereas and subborses in diver community and the gibbellow work!		Chickong Laurenings Laurent var gradi and reflore su clase program in schog languages for experiment, web/facerst, and adriancement.	



Advocacy & Outreach

Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion

Professional Learning

Research

Teacher Recruitment & Retention



ACTFL

Plan

Strategic

Copyright ©ACTFL 8

ACTFL Proficiency Guidelines: Summary

- A set of criteria describing five levels of language proficiency
- Independent of any textbook, curriculum, or sequence
- Used in academic, government, and business settings
- Includes four skills: listening, speaking, reading, and writing
- Basis for official ACTFL test development and ratings in over 120 languages
- Shared understanding of levels across languages



ACTFL Proficiency Guidelines: History

- Evolved from Interagency Language Roundtable (ILR SLDs)*
- Released in 1986; revised in 1999 and 2012
- Revised to reflect lower levels of proficiency compared to government settings
- Genesis of ACTFL OPI[®] and accompanying tester preparation workshop and materials in 1982
- Basis for certified tests of speaking, listening, reading, and writing in multiple languages
 - Testers of speaking and writing certified by ACTFL
 - Testing suite articulated from Age 8 to adult



K-12 Assessments











Post-Secondary/Beyond Assessments













Assessments cont.











Goals for Guidelines' Revision

- Examine needs of general and specific audiences
 - Initially higher education/post-secondary
 - Now grades 3 adult
- Examine and consider ILR descriptor process and outcomes
- Increase understanding and accessibility to wide audiences (teachers, administrators, testers) (Grapin & Lee, 2022)
- Identify language that is unwelcoming or inaccessible
- Revisit existing language and clarify structure, organization, message, and purpose
- Monitor vertical and horizontal progression (Berger, 2020)
- Emphasize ACTFL's strategic pillars
- Learn from others' experience (ILR SLDs)
- Align with ILR SLD revisions





Behind the Process

- Spring 2022 Present
- Include a wide variety of stakeholders
 - Internal
 - Experts
 - Variety of languages and levels of experience (primary, middle, secondary, higher ed, other)
 - Certified ACTFL testers and facilitators
 - Experts in SLA, heritage language, diversity, equity and inclusion, language teacher preparation
 - Member Practitioners
 - Languages
 - Teaching levels
 - Familiarity with Guidelines



Behind the process (continued)

- Employ multiple methods of eliciting feedback
 - Meetings
 - Surveys
 - Interviews
 - Focus groups
- Follow an iterative process
- Incorporate feedback from different perspectives and expertise
 - Examined existing documents (ILR SLDs, World Readiness Standards for Learning Languages, ACTFL Performance Descriptors for Language Learners, NCSSFL-ACTFL Can-do Statements, prior versions of Guidelines)
- Utilize a professional editor: Deborah Kennedy



Findings

- Consensus on main issues across groups
 - Accessibility
 - Audience
 - Formatting
- Discussion
 - Length
 - Approach
 - Audience
- Inclusion
 - Appreciation for broad geographic reach
 - Discussion between and among members beyond staff and "experts"

Quotes from pre-revision

- Consistent information and wording across (sub)levels. -Information parsed out and organized to make more digestible.
- In some places, extreme clarity and in others, unnecessarily long or redundant or unclear
- De-center 'native'... Consider rewriting so that guidelines apply to all language users.
- Not accessible enough to give [learners, parents, administrators] a chance to engage
- Inclusive language, room for social justice, more application for classroom use (where "spontaneous real-world situations" are challenging to replicate)



Quotes from Focus Groups

- I really like the differentiation of [proficiency and performance] and how to assess. We have needed these changes for some time. This will greatly improve ACTFL workshop training experiences, materials, and much more for workshop participants.
- The revised wording is much more accessible to those who do not have a strong background in language acquisition. I often find that in training others in the guidelines, I have to simplify the language. This revision does that. I also like that there is a description of proficiency and performance, which is an important distinction to make.



Quotes from Town Halls

- Correlations with performance indicators are helpful
- We love that "native" has been removed from the documents the term can be too complicated for a doc like this
- Guidelines are more concise, but also clearer (descriptions are richer, which will help with evaluation)
- The effort to align the new guidelines with the Can-Do Statements is apparent and helps to bring specificity through examples



Issue: Superior and Distinguished

- Terms for highest levels of the *Guidelines*
- Infrequently attained in academic contexts
- Perceived as elitist/lofty: *superior does not give great vibes and neither does distinguished*
- [Many] already know what these terms mean, could require a lot of re-education.
- Suggestions:
- Don't need both/no issue
- Technical
- Academic



- Polished
- Superlative
- Expansive/extended
- Accomplished

- Professional
- Expert
- Specialist





Findings to Date: Actions

- Make wording consistent across levels and domains
- Need for more description and "fewer words"
 - Short overview for introduction
 - Use language/bullet points instead of sentences
- Emphasis on accessibility of language/clarity*
 - Non-language educators/colleagues
 - Parents
 - Learners
- Questions on names for levels
 - Superior
 - Distinguished



Findings to Date: Actions (continued)

- Alignment to and complement
 - World Readiness Standards for Learning Languages
 - ACTFL Performance Descriptors for Language Learners
 - NCSSFL-ACTFL Can-do Statements
- Eliminate exclusionary words
 - Native speaker*
 - Target language/culture
 - "25 cent words" like "interlocutor"



Conclusions

- Transparency and inclusion
- Eliminate barriers to understanding
- Change the narrative regarding the "ideal" language learner/user
- ACTFL goal: to extend reach to non-experts!
 - Clarify communication





- Integrate all possible and practical ideas
- Elicit input from member educators using Guidelines in classroom settings
- Reveal at Town Halls and 2023 ACTFL Convention
- Release: April 2024



Acknowledgements

- Editor: Deborah Kennedy, Key Words
- Consultant
 - Hannah Lukow, Penn State
- Advisory Board
- 800+ active WL teachers and administrators from across the world
- Rachel Brooks, FBI and
- The ACTFL Assessment and Research Staff:
 - Meg Malone
 - Carly Favero
 - Camelot Marshall
 - Reuben Vyn



Thank you!



